

# EXHIBIT B

Trials@uspto.gov  
571-272-7822

Paper 7  
Entered: June 7, 2023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR  
PRODUCTS, INC., and MICRON TECHNOLOGY TEXAS LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2023-00204  
Patent 10,949,339 B2

---

Before JON M. JURGOVAN, DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, and  
KARA L. SZPONDOWSKI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

JURGOVAN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Granting Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
35 U.S.C. § 314  
Granting Motion for Joinder  
35 U.S.C. § 315(c); 37 C.F.R. § 42.122

IPR2023-00204  
Patent 10,949,339 B2

## I. DISCUSSION

*Inter partes* review of U.S. Patent 10,949,339 B2 (“339 patent”) was instituted in IPR2022-00639 (“639 IPR”) based on a petition filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. (“Samsung”). 639 IPR, Papers 1, 15. In this proceeding, Micron Technology, Inc., Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., and Micron Technology Texas LLC (collectively “Petitioner”) concurrently filed a Petition (Paper 3) and a Motion for Joinder (Paper 1) seeking to be joined as a petitioner in the 639 IPR. Petitioner represents that Samsung, the petitioner in the 639 IPR, does not oppose joinder. Motion 2. Patent Owner did not file an opposition to the Motion.

The statute governing *inter partes* review joinder states the following:

JOINDER.—If the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that inter partes review any person who properly files a petition under section 311 that the Director, after receiving a preliminary response under section 313 or the expiration of the time for filing such a response, determines warrants the institution of an inter parties review under section 314.

35 U.S.C. § 315(c).

The time for filing a preliminary response to the Petition has expired, and Patent Owner did not file one. *See* 37 C.F.R. 42.107(b) (“The preliminary response must be filed no later than three months after the date of a notice indicating that the request to institute an inter partes review has been granted a filing date.”); Paper 4 (filing date notice entered on December 9, 2022).

The standard for instituting an *inter partes* review is set forth in 35 U.S.C. § 314(a), which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted unless the information presented in the Petition and the Preliminary Response shows “there is a reasonable likelihood that the

IPR2023-00204  
 Patent 10,949,339 B2

petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”

The patentability challenges in the 639 IPR met the “reasonable likelihood” standard of § 314(a). 639 IPR, Paper 15 at 37. Petitioner represents that the Petition “is substantively identical to” the petition in the 639 IPR. Motion 1. Petitioner presents the following ground in this Petition, which is identical to the instituted ground in the 639 IPR:

<b>Claim(s) Challenged</b>	<b>35 U.S.C. §</b>	<b>Reference(s)/Basis</b>
1–35	103(a) <sup>1</sup>	Ellsberry, <sup>2</sup> Halbert <sup>3</sup>

Pet. 3; *see* 639 IPR, Paper 15 at 8, 37 (identifying same ground and instituting *inter partes* review).

We determine that Petitioner has demonstrated a reasonable likelihood of prevailing with respect to at least one challenged claim of the ’339 patent for the reasons given in the institution decision in the 639 IPR and that the Petition warrants institution. *See* 639 IPR, Paper 15 at 8–37.

As discussed above, Petitioner’s Motion is unopposed by Samsung and Patent Owner. We have reviewed the Motion, and we determine that it is appropriate under these circumstances to join Petitioner as a party to the 639 IPR.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (“AIA”), Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284, 287–88 (2011), amended 35 U.S.C. § 103 and became effective March 16, 2013. For this proceeding, Petitioner assumes that the ’339 patent has an effective priority date before this date (Pet. 3–4) and applies the pre-AIA version of § 103.

<sup>2</sup> US 2006/0277355 A1, published Dec. 7, 2006 (Ex. 1005).

<sup>3</sup> US 7,024,518 B2, issued April 4, 2006 (Ex. 1006).

IPR2023-00204  
Patent 10,949,339 B2

## II. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.4, an *inter partes* review is hereby instituted on the challenge raised in the Petition;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Joinder with IPR2022-00639 is *granted*, and Petitioner is hereby joined as a petitioner in IPR2022-00639;

FURTHER ORDERED that the ground on which trial in IPR2022-00639 was instituted is unchanged, and no other grounds are added in IPR2022-00639;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Scheduling Order entered in IPR2022-00639 (Paper 16) and the Parties' stipulation to modify Dates 1, 2, and 3 (Paper 18) shall govern the trial schedule in IPR2022-00639;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's role in IPR2022-00639 shall be limited as stated by Petitioner in the Motion for Joinder (Paper 1 at 6–9) unless and until Samsung is terminated from that proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that the case caption in IPR2022-00639 shall be changed to reflect joinder of Petitioner in accordance with the attached example;

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Decision be entered into the record of IPR2022-00639; and

FURTHER ORDERED that all further filings shall be made in IPR2022-00639.

IPR2023-00204  
Patent 10,949,339 B2

PETITIONER:

Matthew A. Hopkins  
Michael R. Rueckheim  
Ryuk Park  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
mhopkins@winston.com  
Winston-IPR-Netlist@winston.com

Eliot D. Williams  
Theodore W. Chandler  
Ferenc Pazmandi  
Mark A. Speegle  
Sean Lee  
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
DLSamsung-Netlist-IPRs@BakerBotts.com  
eliot.williams@bakerbotts.com  
ted.chandler@bakerbotts.com  
ferenc.pazmandi@bakerbotts.com  
mark@speegle@bakerbotts.com  
sean.lee@bakerbotts.com

PATENT OWNER:

Hong Annita Zhong  
Jonathan M. Lindsay  
IRELL & MANELLA LLP  
NetlistIPR@irell.com  
hzhong@irell.com  
jlindsay@irell.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.,  
MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS, INC., and  
MICRON TECHNOLOGY TEXAS LLC,<sup>4</sup>  
Petitioner,

v.

NETLIST, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-00639  
Patent 10,949,339 B2

---

---

<sup>4</sup> Micron Technology, Inc., Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc., and Micron Technology Texas LLC filed a motion for joinder and a petition in IPR2023-00204 and have been joined as petitioners in this proceeding.